



WATER AND FORESTRY
LOCAL AND PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT



**DANIDA SUPPORT TO THE WATER COMPONENT OF THE URBAN
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME IN
SOUTH AFRICA**

**Third National Project Management Meeting
Minutes**

Purpose	Agreement on 4 th Progress Report that includes response to the recommendations of the IWRM review of November 2007
Dates	2-3 April 2008
Location	Forever Resorts Blyde River Canyon

Attendance

Silas Mbedzi	Chief Director Institutional Oversight DWAF. National Project Director IWRM II
Derek Weston	DWAF WMIG National Project Manager IWRM II
Carsten Laugersen	Danida Counsellor Royal Danish Embassy Pretoria
Jonathan Diedericks	IWRM Programme Desk Manager, Royal Danish Embassy Pretoria
Roger Short	Senior Technical Adviser
Eustasia Bofilatos	DWAF Director WMIG
Tshiamo Matabane	DWAF WMIG and IWRM II programme officer
Cynthia Chimbaso	SANGOCO Crocodile West Coordination
Peet Venter	DWAF NW Region
Jay Reddy	DWAF Regional Office KZN
Pravita Jairam	DWAF Regional Office KZN
Enver Bucklas	eThekwini Metro
Phila Mayisela	eThekwini Metro Rural ABM
Willie Enright	DWAF Regional Office Western Cape
Mazwi Nomathemba	DWAF Regional Office Western Cape
Nik Wullschleger	Informage regional coordinator Olifants Doorn WMA
Anthony Waterkeyn	Africa Ahead

1. Agenda Item Introduction to meeting & any new agenda items Approval of minutes of May 2007 meeting

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
1.1.	<i>Mr Silas Mbedzi (SM)</i> welcomed all to the meeting	
1.2.	<i>Derek Weston (DW)</i> presented the draft meeting notes of the last NPMG held in May 2007.No amendments to be made the minutes	Meeting notes approved.

2. Agenda item. Presentation of the current status of the IWRM II Programme (DW)

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
.2.1.	<i>DW</i> provided an overview of the main elements of the IWRM I Programme (presentation available) that focused on the development of guidelines, capacity building and a limited number of micro projects.	
2.2.	<i>DW</i> then introduced the main elements of the IWRM II Programme that has three main components, namely, build stakeholder commitment, institutionalise CMAs and integrating IWRM into service delivery	
2.3.	<i>DW</i> outlined how the IWRM II programme links to Masibambane III (Water for Growth and Sustainable Development).	
2.4.	<i>DW</i> presented the recommendations of the Danida Review Team for consideration by the NPMG:	
2.4.1.	<u>Recommendation</u> to undertake internal and external audits	Agreed
2.4.2.	<u>Recommendation</u> extension to March 2010 and focus upon poverty alleviation with clear IWRM dimension and test different implementation models	Agreed
2.4.3.	<u>Recommendation</u> - Realign project document revise to take into consideration overarching goal of IWRM and poverty alleviation. Have external comment ¹ and discuss with NPMG, (purpose of this NPMG)	Agreed
2.4.4.	<u>Recommendation</u> Regular PMG and PSC meetings, transparent paper trail	Agreed

¹ NB Danida Pretoria had agreed that external comment would not be necessary

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
2.4.5.	<u>Recommendation</u> Involvement of SALGA & the DPLG – perceived as not committed and should not be a requirement. DWAF rejected the recommendation as their roles are fundamental as key partners, and should remain notwithstanding their capacity problems	DWAF response agreed
2.5.	<p>DW presented the overall challenges of the IWRM II Programme,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Imbedding concept of budget support • Synchronising budgets especially when more than one government department is involved • Allowing sufficient time for empowerment • Balance strategy and on the ground projects • Meaningful monitoring • DWAF capacity • Variability in nature of WMA, styles of managing projects, approach & attitudes, types of projects, ultimately a strength but is challenging to manage • Delays in institutional processes 	
2.6.	<p>DW presented the way forward for the IWRM II Programme. This included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Approval by the National PMG and PSC of the revised programme that reflected the recommendations of the review team, ▪ Clarification of management structures, ▪ Formalise linkages to Masibambane III, ▪ Improve the monitoring of expenditure and progress, ▪ Undertake the audits as recommended by the Danida Review. • Finalise the programme plan (i.e. commit funds) • Manage the drawdown of funds • Monitor and evaluate not just finances, cross cutting issues, gender, poverty • Support up-scaling 	
2.7.	Agenda Item 2 Questions and Comments	
2.7.1.	Pete Venter (PV) – stressed the need to align IWRM into the mainstream budgets within DWAF, dire need understand what IWRM means as well as to role it out, and embed it in their work as they are currently not involved in IWRM.	

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
2.7.2.	SM – noted that senior level management need to buy into IWRM He noted that the Minister has not seen the IWRM DVD	
2.7.3.	Roger Short (RS) – Thandeka Mbasa was impressed at the IWRM Conference (by WRC) and was considering taking it forward under /role out under Masibambane III	
2.7.4.	SM Highlighted that the progress report had captured well the recommendations by the review team. As a way forward it was noted that the involvement with SALGA and the DPLG is important and also, to involve the municipalities directly especially with respect to capacity issues.	
2.7.5.	Enver Buckas (EB) – Suggested that a memorandum of understanding be established between DWAF, SALGA and DPLG.	
2.7.6.	Willie Enright (WE) – noted that SALGA and DPLG are very supportive, but are constrained by limited capacity. However, they would like to remain involved, recommend IWRM to work closely with municipalities.	
2.7.7.	In response to a question from SM – as to whether a MoU existed between the parties, RS indicated that DWAF does have a MoU under Masibambane and that MoU serves as an agreement as part of the sector wide approach.	

3. Agenda item - Presentation of penultimate draft of 4th progress report (RS)

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
3.1.	RS presented an overview of the 4 th Progress Report (Presentation available). The progress report incorporates the findings of the Danida Review team and serves as the document to guide the realignment of the IWRM II Programme	
3.2.	The progress report sets how the Danida Review recommendations have been incorporated into the programme in particular the focus on IWRM and poverty alleviation.	Approved
3.3.	The re-focused IWRM II programme will test three implementation models, namely, DWAF driven (Olifants Doorn), NGO driven (Crocodile West Marico) and Municipality/SALGA driven (Mvoti uMzimkulu).	Approved
3.4.	The need to adopt a holistic approach has been stressed in the progress report. This requires supporting cooperative governance through collaboration with all relevant spheres of government	Approved

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
3.5.	<p>RS recalled the discussions of the previous NPMG regarding management structures and the recommendation of the Danida Review regarding this issue. The progress report contains the proposal for :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ National Project Steering Committee (NPSC) – (integrate Water for Growth and Development {WfGD}) ▪ Regional PSC ▪ National Project Management Group (NPMG) – (Frequency = Quarterly) ▪ Regional PMG <p>Discussed on 03.04.2008</p>	
3.6.	<p>RS then provided an overview of the methodological approach and the adjustments made to the Outputs and Activities</p>	
3.7.	<p><u>Discussions relating Agenda item</u></p>	
3.7.1.	<p>Nik Wullschleger (NW) – A re-print of the compendiums was done for different events. Suggest that some be made available on significant functions in Parliament Expo.</p>	
3.7.2.	<p>RS - informed the meeting that under objective 3 – Integrating IWRM into Service Delivery, that systems are in place for procurement, financial tracking, project development, implementation and monitoring. The types of projects would be discussed on 03.04.2008</p>	
3.7.3	<p>In response to a comment by RS that there were significant gains due to currency fluctuations, NW asked what would happen to the money. Jonathan Diedericks (JD) – Indicated that more money could be available however if there is a deficit someone will have to pay. Currently the situation looks positive. RS noted that a provision needs to be made on what happens should it go the other way (deficit) or the exchange rate changes. DW - suggested that we work on a conservative amount 1.4 or 1.5 exchange rate and in relation to projects, be realistic and pragmatic on what can realistically be delivered.. SM -DWAF needs to plan better and disbursement of funds need to be improved, especially from DWAF side.</p>	<p>Follow-up action the PMT to carefully monitor exchange rate fluctuations</p>
3.7.4.	<p>RS - outlined some of the various scenarios with respect to the value of the SAR against the DKK . For the final allocations guidance from the PMG is required on the selection of the projects. Tshiamo Matabane TM informed the meeting that DWAF contacted Charles Kendall and was awaiting information on the exchange situation. (TM) to follow up with John Covey (CK). This information will also influence the allocation of funds.</p>	<p>Follow-up Action required</p> <p>TM to contact Charles Kendall</p>

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
3.7.5.	RS –indicated that regional PMG’s – decided work plans and budgets within the framework of the final allocations that will be made.	
3.7.6.	The issue of the renewal of strategic contracts was discussed. TM -noted that the Vendzulu agreement/contract will also need to be amended as due to additional work and extension by the review team. DW -Important that the coordination with the projects and procurement are inline as approx 25% of budget slice goes to procurement, coordination, administration. Phila M (PM) – Indicated that the models for each project will impact on the procurement system.	Strategic contracts to be negotiated under guidance of PMT
3.7.7.	RS – informed the meeting that a Provisional Allocation has been made to FetWater → EU → CMA Network. Negotiations have been on going and there is a possibility to link it with WISA. Discussions with Danida have been clear that we should focus more on IWRM and poverty alleviation. However alignment is needed. Discussion on this subject was held over until the second day of the meeting Issue discussed on 03.04.2008	
3.7.8.	SM - Thanked all the participants and indicated that matters have been raised and additional matters shall be on tomorrow’s agenda.	
	Meeting adjourned at 18:30	

Day 2 03.04.2008

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
4.	Silas Mbedzi welcomed the meeting. Before the second day commenced NW presented the IWRM website (www.iwrm.co.za)	
5.	The meeting then discussed the revised programme outputs as proposed in the 4 th Progress Report. RS introduced each Output and related activities	
5.1.	<u>Objectives – 1: Building – up stakeholder participation</u> Output 1.1 Capacitate marginalized communities in IWRM issues. RS informed the meeting that this output will be included in all projects	
5.1.1.	<u>Discussion relating to topics.</u> The discussion on this Output focused on the issues that should be included: RS noted that water allocation and compulsory licensing should be included, JR requested the addition of a module on water services, NM requested the inclusion of gender empowerment and soft –skills such as diversity (respect for women and different cultures), conflict management, etc as there are some fights among groups.	Provision to be made in final version of Progress Report

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
5.1.2.	<p>A discussion with respect to accreditation followed. NW noted that the aspect of accreditation is an important issue. RS recalled that under IWRM I accreditation had been very difficult, perhaps the use Ethekewini's training/resource centres could be made. Cynthia Chishimba (CC) – Suggestion that the SETA's be brought to the table to assist with accreditation and training, e.g. Project management, basic finance, etc. Some are level 4 accreditation programmes/ training. SM -recommended the use of SETA's for accreditation as it helps advance the people who attend the training. EB suggested to look at the Local Government & Water SETA delivery points local government structure, investigate how they fit in, keep brief simple, provide recognition for prior learning & credits – especially programmes they have for municipalities where marginalised groups will benefit. He further suggested that the SETA structure & engagement with LA, through a MOU with SALGA, start delivering IWRM immediately, looking at UNISA through the SETA's for training. He noted that the access issue to website was useful to disseminate & share information.</p>	
5.1.3.	<p><u>Discussion relating to relationships with Local Government</u> JD - noted the need to specify roles and relationships with Local Authorities (LA) it helps with the engagement with communities. Nino Manus (Nino) - Water services, multiple use of water, recommended that programme link with WS as mechanism are in place to engage with LA. WE –commented that LAs are often directly involved but we do not often say, advertise and document it well. EB noted that if an MOU were in place it would be possible to talk directly to the CEO of the municipalities as the MOU speaks to the IDPs. It is also important to coordinate programmes to avoid duplication. In response to a question by SM as to Water Services on this view, Nino – suggested that what has been proposed is an ideal situation. However some municipalities want DWAF to liaise with them directly and not come through SALGA. Time frames are also important especially for IDP's. EB - Emphasized the point that things need to be done for marginalized groups and that time should not be wasted on searching for backlogs. In conclusion SM – proposed the use of the appropriate process to get the job done, i.e. engage with LAs where it works, and sideline them where they do not and they will come around.</p>	
5.1.4.	<p>Silas Mbedzi requested agreement on the issues discussed under this Output</p>	Agreed

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
5.2.	<p>Water User Association Training SM requested the Regional Offices to comment on what they would like to see from the programme. PV – Suggested that the training should be integrated into other programmes and the communication centres to be used to disseminate information, at this stage focused in the Hartebeespoort Dam rehabilitation programme only. Communication projects in the Crocodile Marico to the HBD, create a stable and sustain the communication strategy, take IWRM materials to the next step, working with Rand Water to look at waste minimization & the affect on our water resources. He further added that permanent programmes should be run, major requests to visit the dam, adopt a river, IWRM research through the WRC had a practical session at the dam, need to see it, major shortage of practical science and biology, communication centre really fits in with the IWRM and the gaps in the schools. EB – emphasised the need to focus on marginalized communities, gender sensitivity and balance and rights-based and alien invasive programmes. People need information. How do they access these funds, not all training can be accredited, if they attend and obtain a certificate, this does motivate them, especially if they have access to financial incentives thru training even better, audit the existing communication centres, categorise them and use them more effectively. For formal accreditation use SETA's/ SAQA while informal/ semi-formal training – use DWAF, LG, IWRM certificates. DW – noted that a framework will need to be developed to show how everything tie's together. EB added Categorisation and skills level Framework. In conclusion SM indicated that there was a general agreement for IWRM to link to other training either through institutions such as UNISA, all the way down to basic awareness</p>	<p>Comments to be included in final Progress Report if they are aligned with the overall re-focus of the programme and budgets permit.</p>
5.3.	<p><i>Output: 1.2 Stakeholder participation activities</i> RS informed the meeting that WMA Stakeholder conference / workshops to IWRM & Poverty Alleviation to spread the message have been held and more under way. He added that it is essential to initiate a dialogue in each WMA on concrete IWRM issues with different government departments. NM – informed the meeting that the RPMG had recognised that municipalities were not aware as a consequence they have decided to launch a road show to present IWRM at the mayor executive meetings as well as invite/ include the LA and other stakeholders to the quarterly PMG meetings. NW added that arrangements are to be made to have a presentation prepared for the EXCOs of municipalities. The aim is to further go to districts and filter down to local level. A request was also made by the projects to visit each others projects. EB noted that from a regional, local, metro perspective, the Metro's cut across all the other districts. They have capacity and resources to deliver on IWRM, which could also include management use seminars, skills workshops.</p>	<p>This approach endorsed. (Provision to be made in regional budget allocations)</p>

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
5.4.	<u>Immediate Objective 2 Institutionalising the CMA</u> RS introduced this objective. The main focus is to embed the principles of the developmental role of the CMA, in particular IWRM, poverty alleviation, gender and employment generation. The main purpose of this Objective will be to record, document (tools and guidelines) and disseminate the activities undertaken within Immediate Objective 1 and 3	
5.4.1.	<u>CMA – IWRM and Poverty Alleviation Starter Pack</u> DW noted that the original programme budget provided for 33% support to CMAs. This proposal is a fundamental change as it focuses on capacity development rather than budget support to the CMA. EB added that the focus of the projects are at a local level, DWAF has this as a priority to address existing gaps. RS commented that notwithstanding that the proposal is in line with the review & DWAF recommendations, it does require formal agreement. SM requested approval	Proposal agreed
5.4.2.	<u>The toolkits proposed for development</u> under the re-aligned programme was presented. PV requested an additional toolkit on waste minimisation. DW this to be catered for under Objective 3. Nino added that a guideline has been developed by DWAF WS for municipalities on how they link with DWAF and communities. EB noted that The White Paper on Local Government highlights the clear roles and responsibilities	Proposed guidelines and toolkits approved with addition of waste minimization
5.4.3.	<u>Training for DWAF and LG.</u> RS explained that the intention is to develop a training programme based on IWRM experiences and that a series of short workshops will be conducted during 2008 with a view to having the full programme developed by July 2009	Action need to collaborate with WfSGD with respect to workshops
5.4.4.	<u>Dissemination activities</u> RS outlined some of the activities that are underway or planned, these include: Scaling up conference under WfSGD, 2008 WISA Conference, the Project Compendium, the IWRM website and proposed exhibition in Parliament. NM added that Parliament Expo could support the Minister when she gives her budget speech, etc in parliament. TM recalled that the resource centres in the different provinces by Ethikwini could be used to disseminate information SM noted that DWAF Communication Section in DWAF are always displaying banners etc, and always seems to know where Minister is, need to work together with communications to send a set with them when with the Minister. Also banners should be displayed at the HO entrance. NW informed the meeting that the compendiums have been printed and we need to have a target audience when distributing them (Wisa; etc) He further added that at a local level the projects want to purchase Wendy houses to use as an office, to keep equipment/ assets; to use as venue for project meetings and disseminate info on the projects. CC suggested partnering with the post office and MPRC to disseminate informational on the projects. EB – suggested investigating used shipping containers, some even provide transport in coastal areas rather than buying new ones as prices vary	The approaches were endorsed. Action: report back at next NPMG on specific events Action required re partnership with post office Purchase of Wendy houses approved

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
5.4.5.	<p>NM initiated a discussion concerning assets by asking a question relating to ownership. CC suggested that the contracts need to include a clause that all assets will be disclosed. On completion of the project, the assets collected by the projects will be rotated among existing projects. DW considered the assets to remain as DWAF assets to which WE commented if this was to be the case they will have to go through DWAF procurement. SM stressed the need for accountability and compliance to the PFMA</p>	<p>Action</p> <p>Develop asset register/database</p> <p>Consult with DWAF supply chain</p>
5.5.	<p><u>Immediate Objective 3 Integrate IWRM into service delivery</u></p>	
5.5.1.	<p>RS presented the procurement system</p>	<p>Approved</p>
5.5.2.	<p>The agenda item dealing with monitoring of community based projects was introduced at this point. NW presented the monitoring system</p> <p>Discussion EB enquired as to what types of materials the projects could purchase. NW responded that groups decide themselves but guidance is required from the NPMG with respect a number of requests that have recently been made e.g. computers, digital cameras. There was also a need to seek guidance with respect to payment for labour. The meeting complimented WC on the work that had been done with respect to this issue. SM suggested that DWAF should consider the system for managing its own projects.</p>	<p>The M&E system was approved</p>

4. Agenda item FETWater

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
6.	<p>DW Presented the FET Water Programme (presentation available) Following the presentation DW added that FET Water offered an opportunity to capture our lessons in localised governance community dev, relationships LG & CMA and to provide stable learning platform. DWAF has started discussions with FETWater and some commitment has been made.</p> <p>Discussion SM sought clarity on the relationship between FET Water & WinSA to which DW replied that relationships exist, also WRC is involved as an Implementing Agent, though stronger relationships need to be build. DW requested guidance from the NPMG as to whether IWRM DWAF should still continue allocate budget for this activity. PV commented that Haartebeespoort is aligned with FETWater, expertise in the group should be tapped into as the output is valuable. We should support them. WE – Suggested that we do not loose the experiences gained from IWRM but entrench them in FETWater. Carsten Laugese (CL) DANIDA – complimented the overall excellent approach excellent, more to the ground level, pilot projects. What is missing is the technology and technical side of IWRM. Allocation to pilot projects and technology should take priority, then look at how much can go into FetWater.</p>	Final allocations to be made once pilot project budgets are completed

5. Agenda item Community Health Clubs (CHC)

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
7.	<p>Anthony Waterkeyn AW presented the CHC (presentation available)</p> <p>Discussion RS stressed the need to complete the inception phase by the end of June. In response to a question by JR concerning the number of CHCs were planned for Umzumkulu AW stated that Inception report to be developed and the feasibility study to be conducted. The CHC presentation was made to the EXCO of the municipality and they support the project. Discussions followed on programme content and processes. RS requested the comments from the NPMG. NW mentioned the potential for replication in Olifants Doorn WMA.</p>	CHC Programme approved

6. Agenda item Regional Presentations

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
8.	<p><u>Crocodile West Marico WMA</u> Cynthia Chishimba CC - North West informed the meeting that following the call for proposals more than 55 received, short listed 38 projects now in final selection phase. The SANGOCO project team works closely with Olifants Doorn in order to replicate processes and to keep IWRM website updated. She added that a major challenge was to improve communication with DWAF regional office, and to align with initiatives already in the province as well as the sustainability of the projects.</p> <p>Discussion EB enquired as to the next steps and the criteria for selecting projects. CC noted that sustainability is the overriding concern and as such it is important to register projects and integrate them into IDP's. The projects will then go through selection process with DWAF. Nino added that sustainability is important as it is entrenched in government policies. She also asked who will be the responsible O & M person, also how do we ensure that water is available for productive use and how do communities approach DWAF for water? On the issue of O & M RS noted that projects have not come from DWAF, but from the people themselves, thus the ownership is in communities and they are interested in maintaining them. A debate followed with respect to access to water and the involvement of WUAs. JR and EB begged to differ on this issue with the former stating that WUAs are already stretched and EB stating that officials should assist in getting the processes moving. DW noted that Access to water, becomes part of the life cycle, resources missing water, land finances, this is where IWRM starts to unravel and look at solutions with other Departments.</p>	<p>Action</p> <p>SANGOCO to report on status of projects at next NPMG</p>
9.	<p><u>Mvoti Umzimkulu WMA</u> Jay Reddy - KZN presented (see presentation). On the subject of project concerning indigenous plants EB suggested the Use local people to create indigenous nursery's do not use commercial operators, encourage money to remain in the community. A project exists in the eThekweni which offers project access to free plant nursery course at no cost, except for travelling and accommodation for 4 nights. It was noted that some six projects had been prepared by one NGO (DUCT). It was agreed that there was still work to be done. RS noted that the work should be completed by the end of June and that also the issue of the three implementation models had to be considered.</p>	<p>KZN to report on status at next NPMG</p>

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
10	<p>eThekweni Metro projects Phila Mayisela (PM) – introduced the ABM-IWRM integrated project that aimed to add value to existing projects using an area based cross-sectoral approach to include :irrigation, appropriate technology e.g. ram pumps, wide range of projects from food also at a semi commercial level, environmental issues e.g. wetland rehabilitation, basket work, creating sustainable employment and markets.</p> <p>Discussion EB outlined some of the challenges: using potable water to grow food crops, immediately started with rainwater harvesting, composting, sludge, delivered to all the rural communities, some of the crops are being bottled in preserves and jars, rural communities putting in food orders per month ahead of time, while top management are very motivated the middle management are struggling to get the job done, solar pumps stolen, some project materials stolen. NM added that some of the challenges they are facing in Olifants Doorn include partnerships, land, group dynamics, longer term sustainability, literacy, dependency culture (on IWRM). PM added that eThekweni was keen share its experiences with the other WMAs. A comment was made by TM regarding labour issues and project budgets. DW suggested that this be discussed at the regional PMG and suggestions given to the NPMG. Nino remarked that Water Services aimed to work with the municipalities, had written guideline to assist the municipalities and get their planning correct, and suggested the possibility of funding pilots & test guidelines and record lessons learnt. SM Supported the idea, and urged that a link up with the regional managers is made. Nino informed the meeting that Water Services is currently working in NW Province but other areas could be identified</p>	Approach approved
11	In concluding this Agenda item DW requested the NPMG to provide comments. CL and other members of the NPMG again commended the group on the good work that had been undertaken.	Approach approved

7. Agenda Item – Presentation of Budget

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
12	RS presented the budget and the distribution of funds. There was a general agreement and satisfaction that a significant proportion of funds are to allocated to community driven projects. SM requested the regions to look at the budgets and submit proposals (and budgets)	<p>Budget framework approved</p> <p>Action</p> <p>Regions to submit proposals so that allocations can be finalised</p>

8. Agenda Item – Management Structures

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
13	<p>RS briefly re-presented the proposals for management structures presented the previous day.</p> <p>Discussion CL indicated a concern of fully integrating the Danida IWRM PSC with that of Masibambane III. Perhaps there could be shared venues or back-to-back meetings. Following a request RS listed the members of the Danida IWRM national PSC.</p>	Management structures approved

9 Agenda item – Up-scaling

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
14	<p>RS Briefly outlined the proposals for up-scaling that had been discussed with Kalinga Pelpola, Initially up-scaling would be in KZN, Western Cape, Eastern Cape and Inkomati. The second phase will incorporate the remaining WMAs. Indicative budgets of 10M per WMA had been suggested but this has to be verified. A budget allocation had been made for kick-starting the up-scaling process. The PMT will keep the NPMG informed of developments.</p>	<p>Action</p> <p>NPMT to inform NPMG of developments at next meeting.</p>

10. Agenda Item - Closure

No	Proceedings/Comments	Actions
15	<p>SM -Thanked participants for their contributions and wished them a safe journey back No date was fixed for the next meeting but it was agreed that it should coincide with the submission of the next progress report.</p> <p>Meeting adjourned</p>	